## Option J - Mildenhall wards

Key
Two-member ward $\square$ Within $10 \%$ of the ideal electorate of 2056
Variance against ideal electorate of more than $10 \%$

The method used for creating this option is different to that used for Option I. This option is based on existing polling district boundaries and the electorate totals as at 1 December 2017. However Option I has used electorate figures from a later date. This does mean that there is a small difference of 9 electors from Option I.
In this option, the overall electorate for Mildenhall is 6,272 - which equates to 2,091 electors per ward.

| Ward No. | Proposed name of ward | No. of members | Total electorate | \% Away from Average (Variance) | Changes made as a result of consultation | Details of feedback received and other comments | Other options that could be considered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | Market | 1 | 2052 | -0.19\% | None | Feedback from the consultation was received in favour of option I and option J. Option J received feedback to say that it better reflected overall representation for the area because | Mildenhall South ward |
| 57 | Great Heath | 2 | 4,220 | 2.63\% | None | councillors and that future building projects to the south of the town could naturally lead to two representatives in the Market Ward in the future. | Mildenhall North ward |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  | Some respondents felt that compass point names were better and others prefer to use a name that is significant for the area; Mildenhall PC supported the use of named wards |  |

